Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

catena-Poly[[diaquacadmium(II)]-µ-3,3'-(p-phenylene)diacrylato]

Chun-Bo Liu,* Lu Lu, Zhan-Lin Xu and Qing-Wei Wang

Department of Chemistry, Jilin Normal University, Siping 136000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: chunboliujl@yahoo.com.cn

Received 24 September 2007; accepted 24 September 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.020; wR factor = 0.046; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0.

In the title compound, $[Cd(C_{12}H_{10}O_4)(H_2O)_2]$, each Cd^{II} atom lies on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis and is sixcoordinated by four carboxylate O atoms from two different 3,3'-(p-phenylene)diacrylate ligands, and two cis water molecules in a very distorted octahedral CdO₆ environment. Each 3,3'-(p-phenylene)diacrylate dianion is centrosymmetric and acts as a bis-chelating ligand that binds two Cd^{II} atoms, thus forming a zigzag chain. The chain is decorated with water molecules and O-H···O hydrogen bonds link the chains together, forming a three-dimensional supramolecular structure.

Related literature

For a related structure, see: Fang et al. (2006). For background, see: Qi et al. (2003).



Experimental

Crystal data

[Cd(C₁₂H₁₀O₄)(H₂O)₂] $M_r = 364.62$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 11.857 (3) Å b = 5.3296 (14) Åc = 20.030 (5) Å $\beta = 94.983 \ (4)^{\circ}$

V = 1261.0 (6) Å³ Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 1.75 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K $0.31 \times 0.21 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer	3315 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1235 independent reflections
(SADABS; Bruker, 1998)	1199 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.574, T_{\rm max} = 0.716$	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.046$	independent and constrained
S = 1.11	refinement
1235 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
95 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

2.3251 (16) 2.3846 (16)	Cd1-O1W	2.2044 (18)
55.50 (5)		
	2.3251 (16) 2.3846 (16) 55.50 (5)	2.3251 (16) Cd1-O1W 2.3846 (16) 55.50 (5)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

-11 11	$A \qquad D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
7 (3) 1.95 3 (4) 1.85	$\begin{array}{cccc} (3) & 2.703 \\ (4) & 2.675 \\ \end{array}$	3) 170 (3) 3) 174 (3)
	7 (3) 1.95 3 (4) 1.85	7 (3) 1.95 (3) 2.703 (3) 3 (4) 1.85 (4) 2.675 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors thank Jilin Normal University for supporting this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2555).

References

- Bruker (1998), SMART, SAINT, SADABS and SHELXTL, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fang, Q.-R., Zhu, G.-S., Xue, M., Zhang, Q.-L., Sun, J.-Y., Guo, X.-D., Qiu, S.-L., Xu, S.-T., Wang, P., Wang, D.-J. & Wei, Y. (2006). Chem. Eur. J. 12, 3754-3758
- Qi, Y., Wang, Y., Hu, C., Cao, M., Mao, L. & Wang, E. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 8519-8523.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany,

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, m2595 [doi:10.1107/81600536807046909]

catena-Poly[[diaquacadmium(II)]-*µ*-3,3'-(*p*-phenylene)diacrylato]

C.-B. Liu, L. Lu, Z.-L. Xu and Q.-W. Wang

Comment

The supramolecular networks formed by metal 1,4-benzenedicarboxylates (1,4-bdc) have been widely studied (Qi *et al.*, 2003). However, so far, less attention has been given to the participation of *p*-phenylenediacrylic acid (H₂pda) in such networks. The pda dianion, as an important analogue of 1,4-bdc may be a good candidate for the construction of metal–organic helical architectures. We selected pda as a bridging ligand and Cd^{II} as a central metal, generating a new zigzag chain coordination polymer, [Cd(pda)(H₂O)₂], (I), which is reported here.

In compound (I), the Cd^{II} atom is six-coordinated by four carboxylate atoms from two different pda ligands, and two water molecules in a very distorted octahedral environment (Fig. 1). The O1, O2, $O2^{i}$ and $O1W^{i}$ atoms comprise the basal plane, whereas O1W and O1ⁱ occupy the axial positions of the octahedron. The Cd—O(carboxylate) distances range from 2.3251 (16) to 2.3846 (16) Å (Table 1).

As shown in Fig. 2, each pda acts as a bis-chelating ligand that binds two Cd^{II} atoms, forming a zigzag chain. The chain is decorated with water molecules which participate in O—H…O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) to link the chains together, thus forming a three-dimensional supramolecular structure (Fig. 3).

Experimental

A mixture of $CdCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (0.5 mmol), H_2pda (0.5 mmol), and H_2O (500 mmol) was adjusted to pH = 7 by addition of aqueous NaOH solution, and heated at 453 K for 6 days. After the mixture was slowly cooled to room temperature, colorless blocks of (I) resulted (38% yield).

Refinement

All the C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically (C—H = 0.93 Å) and refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The water H-atoms were located in a difference Fourier map, and were refined freely.

Figures



Fig. 1. The structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. (H atoms have been omitted). Symmetry codes: (i) 2 - x, y, 1.5 - z; (ii) 2.5 - x, 0.5 - y, 2 - z.

Fig. 2. View of the chain structure of (I).



Fig. 3. View of the three-dimensional supramolecular structure of (I).

catena-Poly[[diaquacadmium(II)]-µ-3,3'-(p-phenylene)diacrylato]

Crystal data	
[Cd(C ₁₂ H ₁₀ O ₄)(H ₂ O) ₂]	$F_{000} = 720$
$M_r = 364.62$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.921 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo K α radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 1235 reflections
<i>a</i> = 11.857 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.0 - 26.1^{\circ}$
b = 5.3296 (14) Å	$\mu = 1.75 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 20.030 (5) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 94.983 \ (4)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
V = 1261.0 (6) Å ³	$0.31\times0.21\times0.19~mm$
Z = 4	

Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer	1235 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1199 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.016$
T = 293(2) K	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.1^{\circ}$
ω scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.0^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1998)	$h = -14 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.574, \ T_{\max} = 0.716$	$k = -6 \rightarrow 5$
3315 measured reflections	$l = -24 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: difmap (O-H) and geom (C-H)
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.046$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0216P)^2 + 1.4532P]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.11	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
1235 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
95 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
C1	1.12499 (19)	-0.4749 (4)	0.83239 (11)	0.0287 (5)
C2	1.1910 (2)	-0.2843 (4)	0.87299 (13)	0.0362 (6)
H2	1.2691	-0.2784	0.8712	0.043*
C3	1.1430 (2)	-0.1220 (5)	0.91177 (11)	0.0320 (5)
H3	1.0646	-0.1300	0.9113	0.038*
C4	1.1997 (2)	0.0689 (4)	0.95542 (11)	0.0302 (5)
C5	1.1344 (2)	0.2195 (5)	0.99437 (13)	0.0359 (6)
H5	1.0562	0.2002	0.9906	0.043*
C6	1.1833 (2)	0.3962 (5)	1.03827 (12)	0.0356 (5)
H6	1.1379	0.4927	1.0638	0.043*
01	1.01914 (13)	-0.4967 (3)	0.83570 (8)	0.0305 (4)
02	1.17575 (13)	-0.6158 (3)	0.79418 (8)	0.0360 (4)
O1W	0.90126 (17)	-1.0774 (4)	0.79925 (11)	0.0429 (5)
Cd1	1.0000	-0.79192 (4)	0.7500	0.02670 (9)
HW11	0.832 (3)	-1.093 (6)	0.7946 (15)	0.057 (9)*
HW12	0.928 (3)	-1.205 (5)	0.8085 (15)	0.035 (8)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0313 (12)	0.0234 (11)	0.0303 (11)	-0.0003 (9)	-0.0036 (9)	-0.0007 (9)
C2	0.0289 (13)	0.0363 (13)	0.0426 (14)	-0.0034 (10)	-0.0014 (11)	-0.0108 (11)
C3	0.0330 (12)	0.0327 (12)	0.0299 (12)	-0.0052 (10)	0.0008 (9)	-0.0040 (10)
C4	0.0365 (13)	0.0274 (12)	0.0263 (11)	-0.0041 (10)	0.0010 (9)	-0.0029 (9)
C5	0.0310 (12)	0.0379 (14)	0.0391 (13)	-0.0065 (10)	0.0043 (10)	-0.0091 (10)
C6	0.0360 (13)	0.0378 (13)	0.0333 (12)	-0.0020 (11)	0.0055 (10)	-0.0115 (10)
O1	0.0277 (8)	0.0273 (8)	0.0361 (9)	-0.0020 (7)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0048 (6)
O2	0.0280 (8)	0.0336 (9)	0.0458 (10)	-0.0003 (7)	-0.0008 (7)	-0.0144 (8)
O1W	0.0250 (10)	0.0301 (11)	0.0739 (13)	0.0023 (8)	0.0060 (9)	0.0139 (9)
Cd1	0.02628 (14)	0.02161 (13)	0.03127 (14)	0.000	-0.00282 (9)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cd1—O1	2.3251 (16)	C3—C4	1.466 (3)
Cd1—O2	2.3846 (16)	С3—Н3	0.9300
Cd1—O1W	2.2044 (18)	C4—C6 ⁱⁱ	1.394 (3)
Cd1—O1W ⁱ	2.2044 (18)	C4—C5	1.399 (3)
Cd1—O1 ⁱ	2.3251 (16)	C5—C6	1.381 (3)
Cd1—O2 ⁱ	2.3846 (16)	С5—Н5	0.9300
C1—O2	1.262 (3)	C6—C4 ⁱⁱ	1.394 (3)
C1—01	1.268 (3)	С6—Н6	0.9300
C1—C2	1.482 (3)	O1W—HW11	0.83 (4)
C2—C3	1.324 (3)	O1W—HW12	0.77 (3)
С2—Н2	0.9300		
O1W ⁱ —Cd1—O1W	92.72 (11)	C3—C2—C1	122.4 (2)
O1W ⁱ —Cd1—O1	140.88 (7)	C3—C2—H2	118.8
O1W—Cd1—O1	99.10 (7)	C1—C2—H2	118.8
O1W ⁱ —Cd1—O1 ⁱ	99.10 (7)	C2—C3—C4	127.2 (2)
O1W—Cd1—O1 ⁱ	140.88 (7)	С2—С3—Н3	116.4
O1—Cd1—O1 ⁱ	94.82 (8)	С4—С3—Н3	116.4
O1W ⁱ —Cd1—O2	87.52 (7)	C6 ⁱⁱ —C4—C5	117.9 (2)
O1W—Cd1—O2	125.91 (7)	C6 ⁱⁱ —C4—C3	123.2 (2)
O1—Cd1—O2	55.50 (5)	C5—C4—C3	118.9 (2)
O1 ⁱ —Cd1—O2	91.93 (6)	C6—C5—C4	121.6 (2)
O1W ⁱ —Cd1—O2 ⁱ	125.91 (7)	C6—C5—H5	119.2
O1W—Cd1—O2 ⁱ	87.52 (7)	C4—C5—H5	119.2
O1—Cd1—O2 ⁱ	91.93 (6)	C5C4 ⁱⁱ	120.5 (2)
O1 ⁱ —Cd1—O2 ⁱ	55.50 (5)	С5—С6—Н6	119.7
O2—Cd1—O2 ⁱ	133.64 (9)	C4 ⁱⁱ —C6—H6	119.7
O2—C1—O1	120.3 (2)	C1—O1—Cd1	93.27 (13)
O2—C1—C2	118.9 (2)	C1—O2—Cd1	90.68 (13)
O1—C1—C2	120.8 (2)	Cd1—O1W—HW11	126 (2)
O2-C1-Cd1	61.59 (11)	Cd1—O1W—HW12	120 (2)
01—C1—Cd1	58.90 (11)	HW11—O1W—HW12	109 (3)
C2—C1—Cd1	174.99 (17)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, y, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+5/2, -y+1/2, -z+2.

TT 1 1 1		18	0)
Hvdrogen-bond	geometrv	(A.	Ύ)
1	Securery	(,	

D—H··· A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D\!\!-\!\!\mathrm{H}\!\cdots\!\!A$
O1W—HW12···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.77 (3)	1.95 (3)	2.703 (3)	170 (3)
O1W—HW11···O2 ^{iv}	0.83 (4)	1.85 (4)	2.675 (3)	174 (3)
Symmetry codes: (iii) $x, y=1, z$; (iv) $x=1/2, y=1/2, z$.				











Fig. 3